# Large Nongaussianity from Nonlocal Inflation

# N. Barnaby, J. M. Cline

Physics Department, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3A 2T8 E-mail: barnaby@physics.mcgill.ca, jcline@physics.mcgill.ca

ABSTRACT: We study the possibility of obtaining large nongaussian signatures in the Cosmic Microwave Background in a general class of single-field nonlocal hill-top inflation models. We estimate the nonlinearity parameter  $f_{NL}$  which characterizes nongaussianity in such models and show that large nongaussianity is possible. For the recently proposed p-adic inflation model we find that  $f_{NL} \sim 120$  when the string coupling is order unity. We show that large nongaussianity is also possible in a toy model with an action similar to those which arise in string field theory.

KEYWORDS: Inflation, p-adic strings, Nongaussianity.

#### Contents

1.	Inti	roduction	1
2.	Nonlocal Hill-top Inflation		3
	2.1	Background Dynamics	4
		2.1.1 Scalar Field Evolution	5
		2.1.2 Friedmann Equation	6
	2.2	Fluctuations	7
	2.3	The Curvature Perturbation	9
3.	Spe	ecialization to $p$ -adic Inflation	10
4.	Calculation of the Nongaussianity		12
	4.1	Perturbing the Lagrangian	12
	4.2	Calculation of the Bispectrum	12
	4.3	The Nonlinearity Parameter	15
	4.4	The Perturbative Regime	15
<b>5.</b>	Examples		16
	5.1	p-adic Inflation	16
	5.2	A Toy Model with Exponential Kinetic Function	17
6.	6. Conclusions		18

## 1. Introduction

Though the simplest models of inflation yield a negligible degree of nongaussianity in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) there has been considerable interest recently in constructing models which can give rise to large nongaussian signatures [1]-[8] (see [9] for a review). Nongaussianity is typically characterized by the dimensionless nonlinearity parameter  $f_{NL}$  which is of the order of  $|n_s - 1| \ll 1^1$  (where  $n_s$  is the spectral index) in conventional models [1]-[4]. The current observational limit is  $|f_{NL}| \lesssim 300$  [11] (for the WMAP 3 year data [12]) and future missions are expected to be able to probe  $f_{NL}$  as small as order unity [13]. Though it has been difficult to find models which can yield large nongaussianity (indeed, observation of  $|f_{NL}| \gtrsim 5$  would be strongly indicative of some novelty in the dynamics driving inflation) there exist several examples in the literature:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Nongaussianity can further be characterized using the trispectrum, which is also small in the simplest models [10].

- 1. Single field inflationary models in which the inflaton has a small sound speed [14], as in [15].
- 2. Single field models in which the inflaton potential has a sharp feature [16].
- 3. Hybrid inflation [17] can generate significant nongaussianity during the preheating phase for certain values of the model parameters [18, 19]. Nongaussianity from preheating has also been considered in [20].
- 4. The curvaton model [21].
- 5. Ghost inflation [22].

The simplest multi-field models do not yield large nongaussianity [23], however, this need not be true also of more complicated models. In this paper we show that large nongaussianity may also be generated in certain inflationary models based on nonlocal field theory. In particular, we will show that large nongaussianity is possible in the recently proposed p-adic inflation model [24].

Recently, cosmological applications of field theories containing an infinite number of derivatives have attracted considerable interest in the literature [24]-[31]. In [26] higher derivative modifications of gravity were considered and it was shown that such theories can have novel features such as improved ultraviolet (UV) behaviour and the existence of nonsingular bouncing solutions. In [27] it was shown that similar nonlocal gravity theories can lead to self-inflation.

In this paper we will be interested in the cosmology of scalar field theories containing an infinite number of derivatives (we assume a standard gravitational sector) as in [25] and [28]-[31]. Nonlocal scalar field theories of this type can be derived from string theory, the most popular examples being the p-adic string theory [32]-[34] and cubic string field theory [35]-[37]. In both cases the scalar field is a tachyon describing the instability of some unstable D-brane configuration. Our interest in these types of nonlocal field theories is motivated by their novel cosmological behaviour. Nonlocal field theories can exhibit a variety of interesting cosmological properties including the possibility of slow roll inflation with steep potentials [24, 25], bouncing cosmologies [29] and an equation of state w < -1 for dark energy within a sensible microscopic theory [28, 29, 31].

In this paper we will focus on nonlocal field theories in which inflation is realized near the top of an unstable maximum of the potential (we refer to such constructions as nonlocal hill-top [38] inflation models). In [24] a particular model based on p-adic string theory, p-adic inflation, was proposed. A novel feature was the possibility of slow roll dynamics for the inflaton, despite the presence of a steep potential, which suggests an intriguing possible resolution for the fine tuning problems which typically plague inflationary model building. In [25] a more general analysis of nonlocal hill-top inflation was performed and it was shown that this behaviour is possible also in other models. In this paper we study the possibility of obtaining nongaussian perturbations in general models of the form considered in [25], specializing at the end to the case of p-adic inflation. We find that in p-adic inflation

 $f_{NL} \sim 120$  is possible for  $g_s \sim 1$ . We show that large nongaussianity is possible also in other models.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we consider the inflationary dynamics of nonlocal hill-top inflation, generalizing the results of [25] to include the possibility of a  $\phi^3$  term in the scalar field potential. In section 3 we show how these results apply to the case of p-adic inflation. In section 4 we provide an estimate for the nonlinearity parameter in nonlocal hill-top inflation. In section 5 we use this result to estimate the nonlinearity parameter in p-adic inflation, showing that  $|f_{NL}| \gg 1$  is possible. Finally, we consider a toy model with an exponential kinetic function (which is typical of string field theory Lagrangians) showing that large nongaussianity is possible.

## 2. Nonlocal Hill-top Inflation

We consider general nonlocal theories of the form [25] (see also [28, 29])

$$\mathcal{L} = \gamma^4 \left[ \frac{1}{2} \phi F \left( \frac{\Box}{m_s^2} \right) \phi - U(\phi) \right]$$
 (2.1)

where we assume a hill-top potential of the form

$$U(\phi) = U_0 - \frac{\mu^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{g}{3}\phi^3 + \cdots$$
 (2.2)

where the  $\cdots$  denotes terms of order  $\mathcal{O}(\phi^4)$  and higher. Such terms will generically be present, but since we are interested in the dynamics close to the false vacuum  $\phi = 0$ , they will play a subleading role in our calculation. Indeed, the potential (2.2) should only be thought of as an effective description close to  $\phi = 0$  since it must be supplemented by additional terms to ensure that  $U(\phi)$  is bounded from below—at least on one side. We use metric signature  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1)$  so that  $\Box = -\partial_t^2 + \nabla^2$  in flat space. In the above we take  $\phi$ ,  $U_0$ ,  $\mu$  and g to be dimensionless while  $\gamma$ ,  $m_s$  have mass dimension one. With no loss of generality we can set F(0) = 0, since F(0) can always be absorbed into the definition of  $\mu$ . The differential operator  $F\left(\frac{\Box}{m_s^2}\right)$  should be understood as a series expansion

$$F\left(\frac{\square}{m_s^2}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \left(\frac{\square}{m_s^2}\right)^n \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$c_n = \frac{1}{n!} \left. \frac{d^{(n)}F(z)}{dz^{(n)}} \right|_{z=0}$$

and  $c_0 = 0$ . Lagrangians of the form (2.1) arise frequently in string field theory, in which case  $m_s$  coincides with the string mass scale. In the following we keep  $m_s$  unspecified.

Examples of theories of the form (2.1) include the p-adic string theory [32] (see also [33, 34])

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{m_s^4}{g_p^2} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \psi p^{-\frac{\Box}{m_s^2}} \psi + \frac{1}{p+1} \psi^{p+1} \right]$$
 (2.4)

with

$$\frac{1}{g_p^2} = \frac{1}{g_s^2} \frac{p^2}{p-1}$$

and, in order to put (2.4) in the form (2.1), we take  $\psi = \phi + 1$ . In (2.4)  $m_s$  and  $g_s$  are the string mass and coupling respectively. The action (2.4) was derived assuming p to be a prime number, though it appears to make sense for any integer value of p. A second popular example is the tachyon action [35] (see also [28, 36, 37])

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{m_s^4}{g_s^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \psi \left( 1 + \lambda^2 \frac{\Box}{m_s^2} \right) e^{-\frac{\Box}{4m_s^2}} \psi - \frac{1}{4} \psi^4 \right]$$
 (2.5)

where  $\lambda^2 \cong 0.9556$ . This tachyon action is derived in cubic superstring field theory (SFT) in a flat background, incorporating only massless fields.

# 2.1 Background Dynamics

We first consider the homogeneous dynamics of the theory (2.1). The Klein-Gordon equation for the scalar field  $\phi$  is

$$F\left(\frac{\Box}{m_s^2}\right)\phi(t) = -\mu^2\phi(t) + g\phi^2(t) \tag{2.6}$$

The Friedmann equation is

$$3H^2 = \frac{1}{M_p^2} T_{00} \tag{2.7}$$

where the stress tensor is given by [25] (see also [40])

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^4} T_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c_l \, m_s^{-2l} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left[ \left( \partial_{\mu} \Box^j \phi \right) \left( \partial_{\nu} \Box^{l-1-j} \phi \right) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \left( \partial_{\alpha} \Box^j \phi \right) \left( \partial_{\alpha} \Box^{l-1-j} \phi \right) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \left( \Box^j \phi \right) \left( \Box^{l-j} \phi \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \phi \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_l \left( \frac{\Box}{m_s^2} \right)^l \phi - 2V \right]$$
(2.8)

In order to solve the system (2.6,2.7) we make the ansatz of a series expansion

$$\phi(t) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \phi_r e^{r\lambda t}$$

$$H(t) = H_0 - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \phi_r e^{r\lambda t}$$
(2.9)

and solve for  $\lambda$  and  $\{\phi_r, H_r\}$  order-by-order in powers of  $u = e^{\lambda t}$ . We have parameterized the solution so that as  $t \to -\infty$  the field  $\phi$  sits at the unstable maximum  $\phi = 0$  and the universe undergoes de Sitter expansion with Hubble constant  $H_0$ . We use the freedom to choose the origin of time to set  $\phi_1 \equiv 1$ . We also set  $H_1 \equiv 0$  which is consistent because  $T_{\mu\nu}$  does not contain any terms linear in  $\phi$ . Thus, to solve the equations of motion up to order  $\mathcal{O}(u^2)$  the truncated expansion

$$\phi(t) = u + \phi_2 u^2 \tag{2.10}$$

$$H(t) = H_0 - H_2 u^2 (2.11)$$

suffices.

#### 2.1.1 Scalar Field Evolution

We now proceed to solve (2.6) using (2.10). It was shown in [24] that

$$(-\Box)^n \phi = + (\lambda^2 + 3H_0\lambda)^n u + (4\lambda^2 + 6H_0\lambda)^n \phi_2 u^2 + \mathcal{O}(u^3)$$
 (2.12)

so that the left-hand-side of (2.6) is

$$F\left(\frac{\Box}{m_s^2}\right)\phi = F\left(-\frac{\lambda^2 + 3H_0\lambda}{m_s^2}\right)u + F\left(-\frac{4\lambda^2 + 6H_0\lambda}{m_s^2}\right)\phi_2u^2 + \mathcal{O}(u^3)$$
 (2.13)

while the right-hand-side of (2.6) gives

$$-\mu^2\phi + g\phi^2 = -\mu^2u + (g - \mu^2\phi_2)u^2 + \mathcal{O}(u^3)$$
 (2.14)

Matching at linear order in u implies

$$F\left(-\frac{\lambda^2 + 3H_0\lambda}{m_s^2}\right) = -\mu^2 \tag{2.15}$$

It is convenient to define

$$\omega^2 = -m_s^2 F^{-1} \left( -\mu^2 \right) \tag{2.16}$$

since consistency of this approach requires that  $F^{-1}$  exists and is single-valued, so that (2.15) takes the form

$$\lambda^2 + 3H_0\lambda - \omega^2 = 0 \tag{2.17}$$

It is straightforward to solve (2.17) for  $\lambda$ 

$$\lambda = -\frac{3H_0}{2} \pm \frac{3H_0}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\omega^2}{9H_0^2}}$$

The slow-roll solution corresponds to taking the positive root and assuming that  $\omega^2 \ll H_0^2$  so that

$$\lambda \cong \frac{\omega^2}{3H_0} \tag{2.18}$$

We also define a dimensionless parameter

$$\eta = -\frac{\omega^2}{3H_0^2} \tag{2.19}$$

so that slow roll corresponds to  $|\eta| \ll 1$  (notice that  $\eta < 0$  in this model). For the slowly rolling solution we have  $\lambda/H_0 = |\eta| \ll 1$  and  $\lambda^2 \ll 3H_0\lambda$  so that the evolution is friction-dominated in the usual sense, namely  $\ddot{\phi} \ll H\dot{\phi}$  when  $|\eta| \ll 1$ . In passing, notice that to leading order in the small-u expansion the field obeys the eigenvalue equation

$$\Box \phi = -\omega^2 \phi \tag{2.20}$$

However, the correspondence between the solutions of (2.20) and the solutions of (2.6) breaks down beyond leading order in the small-u expansion.

We now solve (2.6) up to second order in the small-u expansion. Notice that the arguments of F in (2.13) are approximately  $-\omega^2/m_s^2$  and  $-2\omega^2/m_s^2$  for the coefficients of the  $\mathcal{O}(u)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(u^2)$  terms respectively. Matching (2.13) and (2.14) at order  $u^2$  gives

$$\phi_2 \cong \frac{g}{\mu^2 + F\left(-2\frac{\omega^2}{m_s^2}\right)} \tag{2.21}$$

for  $|\eta| \ll 1$ . Notice that in the case g = 0 we have  $\phi_2 = 0$  and the correspondence between the solutions of (2.20) and the solutions of (2.6) holds quite generally. As we have pointed out previously, however, for  $g \neq 0$  this equivalence breaks down at order  $u^2$ .

#### 2.1.2 Friedmann Equation

It now remains to determine the coefficients  $H_0$ ,  $H_2$  in (2.11). In order to determine H(t) up to order  $u^2$  we need only consider  $\phi(t)$  up to first order in the small-u expansion. This is so because  $T_{\mu\nu}$  does not contain any term linear in  $\phi$  (see eq. (2.8)). Working strictly with  $\phi(t) = u$  the scalar field obeys (2.20) so that it is straightforward to resum the infinite series in (2.8). The result is

$$\gamma^{-4} T_{00} = U_0 - \frac{\omega^2}{2m_s^2} F' \left( -\frac{\omega^2}{m_s^2} \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{|\eta|}{3} \right] u^2 + \mathcal{O}(u^3)$$
 (2.22)

Then we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{T_{00}}}{\sqrt{3}M_p} \cong \frac{\gamma^2 U_0^{1/2}}{\sqrt{3}M_p} \left[ 1 - \frac{\omega^2}{4m_s^2 U_0} F'\left(-\frac{\omega^2}{m_s^2}\right) u^2 + \mathcal{O}(u^3) \right]$$
(2.23)

at leading order in the  $\eta$  slow roll parameter. The fact that there is no  $\mathcal{O}(u)$  term on the right-hand-side of (2.22) demonstrates that it was consistent to set  $H_1 = 0$  in (2.11). From the Friedmann equation

$$H(t) = \frac{\sqrt{T_{00}}}{\sqrt{3} M_p}$$

it is straightforward to identify  $H_0$ ,  $H_2$  by equating (2.11) to (2.23). We find that

$$H_0 = \frac{\gamma^2 U_0^{1/2}}{\sqrt{3} M_p} \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$\frac{H_2}{H_0} = \frac{\omega^2}{4m_s^2 U_0} F' \left( -\frac{\omega^2}{m_s^2} \right) \tag{2.25}$$

Or, using (2.16) to eliminate the derived parameter  $\omega^2$ , we have

$$\frac{H_2}{H_0} = -\frac{1}{4U_0}F^{-1}(-\mu^2)F'\left[F^{-1}(-\mu^2)\right]$$
 (2.26)

It is useful to define a second dimensionless "slow roll" parameter by

$$\epsilon(t) = \frac{H_2}{H_0} e^{2\lambda t} \tag{2.27}$$

It is clear that once  $\epsilon(t) = 1$  then the perturbative expansion (2.11) breaks down and our solution is no longer valid. At this point one may assume that inflation has ended and hence the condition  $\epsilon(t_{\rm end}) = 1$  implicitly defines the time  $t_{\rm end}$  at which inflation ends. It is worth pointing out that the definition (2.27) differs from the usual  $\epsilon$  slow roll parameter since

$$-\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \cong 2|\eta|\epsilon$$

with our definitions (2.19, 2.27). A quantity in which we will be interested in later on is the value of  $u = e^{\lambda t}$  at the end of inflation. Using (2.26) we see that

$$\frac{1}{u_{\text{end}}^2} = -\frac{1}{4U_0} F^{-1}(-\mu^2) F' \left[ F^{-1}(-\mu^2) \right]$$
 (2.28)

## 2.2 Fluctuations

We now study the scalar field fluctuations about a homogeneous background,

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \phi_0(t) + \delta\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) \tag{2.29}$$

As we have shown the background solution during inflation is described by  $\phi_0(t) \cong e^{\lambda t}$ ,  $H(t) \cong H_0 - H_2 e^{2\lambda t}$ . In order to solve for the fluctuation  $\delta \phi$  we treat the background as pure de Sitter space (which is equivalent to working to zeroth order in the small-u expansion so that  $\phi_0 = 0$  and  $H = H_0$ ). In this limit the fluctuation  $\delta \phi$  obeys the equation

$$F\left(\frac{\Box}{m_s^2}\right)\delta\phi = -\mu^2\delta\phi\tag{2.30}$$

We can obtain solutions of (2.30) by choosing  $\delta \phi$  to satisfy the eigenvalue equation

$$\Box \delta \phi = -\omega^2 \delta \phi \tag{2.31}$$

with  $\omega^2$  given by (2.16) as above. The solutions of (2.31) are well known. However, to make contact with the usual treatment of cosmological perturbations we need to define a field in terms of which the action looks canonical. This presents a difficulty because, in general, there is no local field redefinition which will bring the kinetic term  $\phi F\left(\frac{\Box}{m_s^2}\right)\phi$  into canonical form. However, as in [24, 25], we can circumvent this difficulty by noticing that (2.30) can be derived from the perturbed Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{\gamma^4}{2} \left[ \delta \phi F \left( \frac{\square}{m_s^2} \right) \delta \phi + \mu^2 (\delta \phi)^2 \right]$$
 (2.32)

Then, for on-shell fields (that is, when (2.31) is satisfied),

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{on-shell}}^{(2)} = \frac{\gamma^4}{2} \left[ \delta \phi F \left( -\frac{\omega^2}{m_s^2} \right) \delta \phi + \mu^2 (\delta \phi)^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{\gamma^4}{2} \left[ \delta \phi \frac{1}{(-\omega^2)} F \left( -\frac{\omega^2}{m_s^2} \right) (-\omega^2) \delta \phi + \mu^2 (\delta \phi)^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{\gamma^4}{2} \left[ \delta \phi \frac{1}{(-\omega^2)} F \left( -\frac{\omega^2}{m_s^2} \right) \Box \delta \phi + \mu^2 (\delta \phi)^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \delta \varphi \Box \delta \varphi + \frac{\omega^2}{2} (\delta \varphi)^2$$

where we have defined

$$\delta\varphi = A\delta\phi \tag{2.33}$$

with

$$A^{2} = \gamma^{4} \frac{1}{(-\omega^{2})} F\left(-\frac{\omega^{2}}{m_{s}^{2}}\right) = \frac{\gamma^{4}}{m_{s}^{2}} \frac{-\mu^{2}}{F^{-1}(-\mu^{2})}$$
(2.34)

In the second equality in (2.34) we have used (2.16) to eliminate the derived parameter  $\omega$ . Thus  $\delta\varphi$  is the variable which has canonical kinetic term in the action.<sup>2</sup>

Notice that the definition of the canonical field, eq. (2.33), has been derived by studying the linearized theory. However, we will continue to adopt this definition even up to second order in cosmological perturbation theory when we compute the nongaussianity in section 4. This is justified since in the ADM formalism [39] it suffices to use the free-field solution to compute the interaction Hamiltonian. This is so because the terms in the Lagrangian which would provide nonlinear corrections to the free-field dynamics are always multiplied by terms proportional to first order equations of motion, and hence these corrections vanish [14]. Similar comments apply to our construction of the curvature perturbation in subsection 2.3. In any case, contributions to  $f_{NL}$  coming from the free-field dynamics must always be present and, barring anomalous cancellation, the contribution of such terms provides a lower bound on the actual nongaussianities produced.

We now proceed to solve (2.31), bearing in mind that  $\delta\varphi$  is the appropriate canonically normalized field. We write the Fourier transform of the inflaton fluctuation as

$$\delta\varphi(t,\vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \xi_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$$
 (2.35)

where the operator-valued Fourier coefficients  $\xi_k(t)$  can be decomposed into annihilation/creation operators  $a_k, a_k^{\dagger}$  and c-number-valued mode functions  $\varphi_k$  as

$$\xi_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = a_{\mathbf{k}} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(t) + a_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \varphi_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\star}(t) \tag{2.36}$$

The mode functions  $\varphi_k(t)$  are given by

$$\varphi_k(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a^3 H_0}} e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}(\nu + 1/2)} H_{\nu}^{(1)} \left(\frac{k}{aH_0}\right)$$
 (2.37)

where the order of the Hankel functions is

$$\nu = \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + \frac{\omega^2}{H_0^2}} \tag{2.38}$$

and of course  $a = e^{H_0 t}$ . In writing (2.37) we have used the usual Bunch-Davies vacuum normalization so that on small scales,  $k \gg aH_0$ , one has

$$|\varphi_k| \cong \frac{a^{-1}}{\sqrt{2k}}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Our choice of normalization coincides with the definition of a "canonical" inflaton advocated in [24]. However, this choice differs from the normalization employed in [25], where the inflaton was normalized in such a way that the stress tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}$  takes canonical form, though the kinetic term in the action does not. In the case of p-adic inflation, our main interest, the discrepancy is only a factor of  $\sqrt{\ln p}$  which is of order unity for the values of p which we consider.

which reproduces the standard Minkowski space fluctuations. This is the usual procedure in cosmological perturbation theory. However, we note that the quantization of the theory (2.1) is not transparent and it might turn out that the usual prescription is incorrect in the present context. We defer this and other subtleties to future investigation. On large scales,  $k \ll aH_0$ , the solutions (2.37) behave as

$$|\varphi_k| \cong \frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}} \left(\frac{k}{aH_0}\right)^{3/2-\nu}$$

which gives a large-scale power spectrum for the fluctuations

$$P_{\delta\varphi} = \left(\frac{H_0}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{aH_0}\right)^{n_s - 1}$$

with spectral index

$$n_s - 1 = 3 - 2\nu \cong 2\eta \tag{2.39}$$

where  $\eta$  is given by (2.19). Since  $\eta < 0$  this model always gives a red-tilted spectrum, in agreement with the latest WMAP data [12].

Using (2.19) and (2.24) we can rewrite (2.39) as

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^4} = \frac{|n_s - 1|}{2} \frac{U_0}{M_p^2 m_s^2} \frac{1}{|F^{-1}(-\mu^2)|}$$
 (2.40)

which can be use to eliminate  $\gamma$  in favour of other parameters.

It is worth noting that the equivalence between the solutions of (2.31) and (2.30), which implies the equivalence between local and nonlocal theories described in [25], appears only at linear order in perturbation theory. Beyond linear order (as long as  $g \neq 0$ ) this equivalence breaks down, as we illustrate for the case of p-adic inflation in appendix A. The breakdown of this equivalence, once interactions are included, is crucial for understanding why nonlocal theories of the type (2.1) can give rise to significant nongaussianity. Indeed, if it were true that the equivalence persisted at all orders in perturbation theory then the calculation of  $f_{NL}$  in the theory (2.1) would be exactly equivalent to a calculation of  $f_{NL}$  in some local field theory where  $f_{NL} \ll 1$  is generic.

#### 2.3 The Curvature Perturbation

A full calculation of the power spectrum should include metric perturbations and also deviation of the background expansion from pure de Sitter. Such a computation is beyond the scope of the present paper. Here we neglect metric fluctuations and take  $H \cong H_0$  to compute the field perturbation, as we have done in the last subsection. (These approximations reproduce the full calculation up to acceptable accuracy in the standard theory.) We assume that the curvature perturbation is given by

$$\zeta = -\frac{H}{\dot{\varphi}_0} \delta \varphi \tag{2.41}$$

where  $\varphi_0 = A\phi_0 = Au$ . To evaluate the prefactor  $H/\dot{\varphi}_0$  we must work beyond zeroth order in the small-u expansion. We take  $\phi_0 = u$  to compute  $H/\dot{\varphi}_0$  even though the perturbation

 $\delta \varphi$  is computed in the limit that  $\phi_0 = 0$ . This should reproduce the full answer up to  $\mathcal{O}(u)$  corrections. It will come in handy later on to define  $\zeta = c_{\zeta} \delta \varphi$  so that the prefactor  $c_{\zeta}$  is

$$c_{\zeta} = -\frac{H}{\dot{\varphi}_0} = -\frac{H_0}{A\lambda} \frac{1}{u}$$

To compute the spectrum this must be evaluated at horizon crossing,  $N_e$  e-foldings before the end of inflation. Noting that

$$u(t) = a(t)^{|\eta|}$$

and the scale factor at horizon crossing is related to that at the end of inflation by  $a_{\star} = e^{-N_e} a_{\rm end}$ , it follows that

$$u_{\star} = e^{-N_e |\eta|} u_{\text{end}} = e^{-\frac{N_e}{2} |n_s - 1|} u_{\text{end}}$$
 (2.42)

while  $u_{\rm end}$  is given by (2.28). In the above  $u_{\star} = u(t_{\star}) = e^{\lambda t_{\star}}$  is the value of u(t) at the time of horizon crossing,  $t_{\star}$ . Further, since  $\lambda/H_0 = |\eta| = |n_s - 1|/2$  and using (2.34, 2.28, 2.42) we can write the prefactor  $c_{\zeta} = -H/\dot{\varphi}_0$  at the time of horizon crossing as

$$c_{\zeta}^{2} = \left(-\frac{H}{\dot{\varphi}_{0}}\right)^{2} = \frac{4}{|n_{s} - 1|^{2}} \frac{m_{s}^{2}}{\gamma^{4}} \frac{F^{-1}(-\mu^{2})}{(-\mu^{2})} \frac{e^{N_{e}|n_{s} - 1|}}{u_{\text{end}}^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{e^{N_{e}|n_{s} - 1|}}{|n_{s} - 1|^{2}} \frac{m_{s}^{2}}{\gamma^{4} U_{0}} \frac{1}{\mu^{2}} \left[F^{-1}(-\mu^{2})\right]^{2} F' \left[F^{-1}(-\mu^{2})\right]$$
(2.43)

it follows that the power spectrum of the curvature perturbation is

$$P_{\zeta} = A_{\zeta}^2 \left(\frac{k}{aH_0}\right)^{n_s - 1} \tag{2.44}$$

where

$$A_{\zeta}^{2} = \left(-\frac{H}{\dot{\varphi}_{0}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{H_{0}}{2\pi}\right)^{2} = c_{\zeta}^{2} \frac{H_{0}^{2}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \cong 25 \times 10^{-10}$$
 (2.45)

Using (2.43) and (2.24) we arrive at the result

$$A_{\zeta}^{2} = \frac{1}{12\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{m_{s}}{M_{p}}\right)^{2} \frac{e^{N_{e}|n_{s}-1|}}{|n_{s}-1|^{2}} G(\mu^{2})$$
(2.46)

where we have defined the function

$$G(\mu^2) = \frac{1}{\mu^2} \left[ F^{-1}(-\mu^2) \right]^2 F' \left[ F^{-1}(-\mu^2) \right]$$
 (2.47)

## 3. Specialization to p-adic Inflation

We now consider how our previous results apply to the case of p-adic inflation (2.4). For the dimensionful parameters we have

$$\gamma^4 = \frac{m_s^4}{g_p^2} = \frac{m_s^4}{g_s^2} \frac{p^2}{p-1} \tag{3.1}$$

and  $m_s$  is identified with the string mass scale. Notice that  $\gamma > m_s$  for typical values of  $g_s$  and p. The dimensionless coefficients appearing in the potential (2.2) are

$$U_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p-1}{p+1} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\mu^2 = p - 1 \tag{3.3}$$

$$g = -\frac{p}{2}(p-1) \tag{3.4}$$

The function F associated with the kinetic operator is

$$F(z) = 1 - p^{-z/2} (3.5)$$

so that

$$F^{-1}(-\mu^2) = -2 \tag{3.6}$$

$$F'\left[F^{-1}(-\mu^2)\right] = \frac{p}{2}\ln p \tag{3.7}$$

$$G(\mu^2) = \frac{2p \ln p}{p - 1} \tag{3.8}$$

Notice also that (2.40) can be used to eliminate the ratio  $m_s/M_p$  in favour of p,  $g_s$  as

$$\left(\frac{m_s}{M_p}\right)^2 = \frac{8(p+1)}{p^2} \frac{g_s^2}{|n_s - 1|}$$

Using equations (3.6–3.8) it is easy to show that we exactly reproduce the expressions for  $\lambda$ ,  $\phi_2$ ,  $H_0$ ,  $H_2$  and  $n_s-1$  given in [24]. However, our results for  $u_{\rm end}$  and  $A_{\zeta}^2$  (equation (2.28) and (2.46)) differ somewhat from the results of [24]. To see this we first compute  $u_{\rm end}$  for p-adic inflation using (2.28)

$$\frac{1}{u_{\text{end}}^2} = p \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{p+1}{p-1} \ln p \right]$$
 (3.9)

Now, using (2.46) to compute the COBE normalization for p-adic inflation we find

$$A_{\zeta}^{2} = \frac{8}{3\pi^{2}} \frac{g_{s}^{2}}{p} \frac{e^{N_{e}|n_{s}-1|}}{|n_{s}-1|^{3}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{p+1}{p-1} \ln p \right]$$
(3.10)

Equations (3.9) and (3.10) should be compared to equations (5.18) and (5.20) in [24]. In both cases the equations differ by a factor of  $(p+1) \ln p / [2(p-1)]$ . This discrepancy arises because the end of inflation was defined here as the end of the validity of the perturbative expansion is powers of u, whereas in [24] the end of inflation was defined using the friction-dominated approximation, which has a longer range of validity. (This discrepancy was noted also in [25].) Thus, in the particular case of p-adic inflation, the slow-roll dynamics actually persist even after the perturbative description (2.9) has broken down. Thus, choosing (2.28) to define the end of inflation is more stringent.

Notice that in the case of p-adic inflation this discrepancy does not lead to any significant quantitative change in the results since the factor  $(p+1) \ln p / [2(p-1)]$  is order unity for the values of p which were considered in [24], and which will also interest us here.

# 4. Calculation of the Nongaussianity

We now proceed to estimate the level of nongaussianity in nonlocal models, based on the bispectrum. As explained above, a full calculation including metric contributions appears to be prohibitively difficult; we do not know how to quantize the metric perturbations due to the complexity of the stress-energy tensor. However using local scalar field theories as a guide, we hypothesize that the scalar contribution to the curvature perturbation is of the same order of magnitude as that of the metric contribution, so that a valid estimate can be obtained from the scalar perturbation alone. Its contribution to the bispectrum comes from the cubic term in the action for small fluctuations.

## 4.1 Perturbing the Lagrangian

It is straightforward to perturb the Lagrangian (2.1) up to cubic order in the field  $\delta \varphi = A \delta \phi$ . The quadratic Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{\omega^2}{2\mu^2} \left[ \delta \varphi F \left( \frac{\square}{m_s^2} \right) \delta \varphi + \mu^2 (\delta \varphi)^2 \right]$$
 (4.1)

The cubic Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}^{(3)} = c_H(\delta\varphi)^3 \tag{4.2}$$

where we have defined

$$c_H = -\frac{g}{3} \frac{m_s^3}{\gamma^2} \left[ \frac{F^{-1}(-\mu^2)}{(-\mu^2)} \right]^{3/2}$$
(4.3)

## 4.2 Calculation of the Bispectrum

Variation of the quadratic Lagrangian (4.1) yields the free perturbation equation of motion (2.30) whose solutions are given by (2.35, 2.36, 2.37), as we have already discussed. To leading order in slow-roll parameters the order of the Hankel functions in (2.37) is  $\nu \cong 3/2$  so that

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) \cong e^{i\delta} \frac{H_0}{\sqrt{2k^3}} e^{-ik\tau} \left(1 + ik\tau\right)$$
(4.4)

where we have employed conformal time  $\tau$ , which is related to cosmic time t by  $ad\tau = dt$ . It follows that  $a \cong e^{H_0 t} \cong -1/(H_0 \tau)$ . In (4.4) k is the comoving wave number of the perturbation and  $\delta$  is a constant real phase whose value is irrelevant for our calculation.

The quantity of interest for our calculation is the 3-point correlation function of the curvature perturbation  $\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k_1}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k_2}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k_3}} \rangle$  where the expectation value is computed in the interaction vacuum  $e^{-i \int_{t_0}^t dt' H_{\text{int}}(t')} |0\rangle$ . The leading contribution is

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k_1}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k_2}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k_3}}(\tau) \rangle = -i \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} d\tau' \langle 0 | \left[ \zeta_{\mathbf{k_1}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k_2}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k_3}}(\tau), H_{\text{int}}(\tau') \right] | 0 \rangle$$
(4.5)

$$= -ic_{\zeta}^{3} \int_{\tau_{i}}^{\tau} d\tau' \langle 0 | \left[ \xi_{\mathbf{k}_{1}} \xi_{\mathbf{k}_{2}} \xi_{\mathbf{k}_{3}}(\tau), H_{\text{int}}(\tau') \right] | 0 \rangle$$
 (4.6)

where we have used the fact that

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) = c_{\zeta} \xi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) = c_{\zeta} \left[ a_{\mathbf{k}} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) + a_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \varphi_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\star}(\tau) \right]$$

The interaction Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H_{\rm int} = -\int d^3x \, a^3 \mathcal{L}^{(3)}$$
 (4.7)

$$= -c_H \int d^3x \, a^3 \delta \varphi^3 \tag{4.8}$$

where  $c_H$  is defined in (4.3).

In order to compute (4.5) we first consider the commutator

$$[\xi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau), \delta\varphi(\tau', \mathbf{x}')] = \frac{e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}'}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau)\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\star}(\tau') - \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau')\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\star}(\tau)\right)$$

$$\cong -i\frac{H^{2}}{3} \left(\tau - \tau'\right)^{3} \frac{e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}'}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}$$
(4.9)

where on the last line we have taken the large scale limit  $-k\tau, -k\tau' \ll 1$ .

Noting that the commutator (4.9) is a c-number we have

$$\left[\xi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau), \delta\varphi^{3}(\tau', \mathbf{x}')\right] = 3\left[\xi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau), \delta\varphi(\tau', \mathbf{x}')\right] \delta\varphi^{2}(\tau', \mathbf{x}')$$

The expectation value in (4.5) can be written as

$$\langle 0 | \left[ \xi_{\mathbf{k_1}} \xi_{\mathbf{k_2}} \xi_{\mathbf{k_3}}(\tau), \delta \varphi^3(\tau', \mathbf{x}') \right] | 0 \rangle = 3 \left[ \xi_{\mathbf{k_3}}(\tau), \delta \varphi(\tau', \mathbf{x}') \right] \langle 0 | \xi_{\mathbf{k_1}} \xi_{\mathbf{k_2}}(\tau) \delta \varphi^2(\tau', \mathbf{x}') | 0 \rangle$$

$$+ 3 \left[ \xi_{\mathbf{k_2}}(\tau), \delta \varphi(\tau', \mathbf{x}') \right] \langle 0 | \xi_{\mathbf{k_1}} \delta \varphi^2(\tau', \mathbf{x}') \xi_{\mathbf{k_3}}(\tau) | 0 \rangle$$

$$+ 3 \left[ \xi_{\mathbf{k_1}}(\tau), \delta \varphi(\tau', \mathbf{x}') \right] \langle 0 | \delta \varphi^2(\tau', \mathbf{x}') \xi_{\mathbf{k_2}} \xi_{\mathbf{k_3}}(\tau) | 0 \rangle (4.10)$$

Consider, for example, the first term. Carrying out the Wick contractions we have

$$3 \left[ \xi_{\mathbf{k_3}}(\tau), \delta \varphi(\tau', \mathbf{x}') \right] \langle 0 | \xi_{\mathbf{k_1}} \xi_{\mathbf{k_2}}(\tau) \delta \varphi^2(\tau', \mathbf{x}') | 0 \rangle$$

$$= 6 \left[ \xi_{\mathbf{k_3}}(\tau), \delta \varphi(\tau', \mathbf{x}') \right] e^{-i(\mathbf{k_1} + \mathbf{k_2}) \cdot \mathbf{x}'} D_{\mathbf{k_1}}(\tau - \tau') D_{\mathbf{k_2}}(\tau - \tau')$$

where the momentum space propagator is

$$D_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau - \tau') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\star}(\tau')$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{H^2}{2k^3} e^{-ik(\tau - \tau')} (1 + ik\tau) (1 - ik\tau')$$

$$\cong \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{H^2}{2k^3}$$
(4.11)

and on the last line we have restricted to large scales. The remaining terms in (4.10) are similar.

We are now in a position to calculate (4.5). On large scales  $-k_i\tau$ ,  $-k_i\tau'\ll 1$  we have

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k_1}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k_2}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k_3}} (\tau) \rangle \cong -c_H c_\zeta^3 \frac{H^2}{2} \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} d\tau' \frac{(\tau - \tau')^3}{(\tau')^4} \frac{k_1^3 + k_2^3 + k_3^3}{k_1^3 k_2^3 k_3^3} \delta^{(3)} \left( \mathbf{k_1} + \mathbf{k_2} + \mathbf{k_3} \right) \tag{4.12}$$

The time integral is divergent and needs to be regulated. Taking  $\tau$  to correspond to the end of inflation and  $\tau_i$  to correspond to the beginning of inflation we have

$$\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} d\tau' \frac{(\tau - \tau')^3}{(\tau')^4} \cong \ln\left(\frac{\tau_i}{\tau}\right) = \ln e^{N_e} = N_e \tag{4.13}$$

where  $N_e$  is the total number of e-foldings of inflation.

It is worth commenting on the infrared (IR) divergence in the  $d\tau$  integral in (4.12) which does not appear in the standard calculation of  $f_{NL}$  [3, 14]. Naively, this divergence would seem to suggest that the curvature perturbation in  $\zeta$  is not freezing out on super-horizon scales, as it does in the standard theory. However, we suspect that the IR divergence in (4.13) is in fact an artifact of the approximations which we have made. Indeed, a calculation of  $f_{NL}$  in a local field theory which makes precisely the same approximations as we have made (neglecting the metric perturbations and the departure of the background from pure de Sitter space) yields exactly the same IR divergence [41]. It is clear from the calculation of [41] that this IR divergence will generically arise in the three-point function of any light scalar field in de Sitter space. In appendix B we show that, despite the presence of the IR divergence, the calculation of [41] can be used to estimate  $f_{NL}$  in a local field theory and their result does reproduce the result of the complete calculation [3] up to factors of order unity. We assume that the same holds true in the nonlocal theories which we consider.

Though we believe that in a more complete calculation one would find that  $\zeta$  is conserved outside the horizon in the nonlocal theory, we were not able to demonstrate this conclusively. A proper resolution of this issue would require us to perform a complete second order cosmological perturbation calculation, including metric perturbations and departures of the background from pure de Sitter space. Such a calculation is complicated by the nonlocal structure of the theory and is beyond the scope of the present work. Though it is a logical possibility that  $\zeta$  is actually not conserved in the nonlocal theory, it would be surprising. Quite generally, the time derivative of the large scale curvature perturbation is proportional to the nonadiabatic pressure,  $\zeta \propto P_{\rm nad}$  [42]. In a model with only one degree of freedom there are no entropy perturbations and  $P_{\rm nad} = 0$  so that  $\dot{\zeta} = 0$ on large scales. Thus we expect that as long as (2.1) describes only a single degree of freedom then the large scale curvature perturbation should be conserved (in a complete calculation). It is well known that higher derivative theories with *finitely* many derivatives can be rewritten in terms of multiple scalar fields (at least one of which will generically be ghost-like). However, for *infinite* derivative theories of the type which we consider this need not be the case [26]. For infinite derivative theories one should consider the pole structure of the propagator  $G_k \sim \left[F(-k^2/m_s^2) + \mu^2\right]^{-1}$ . Our restriction to models where  $F^{-1}(-\mu^2)$  is single-valued (below equation (2.16)) ensures that the propagator has only one pole (at least near the false vacuum  $\phi = 0$ ). It follows that our analysis is restricted to infinite derivative theories which describe only a single scalar degree of freedom and hence one expects  $P_{\text{nad}} = 0$  (and therefore  $\dot{\zeta} \cong 0$ ) for such theories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For example, in the case of p-adic inflation the propagator near the unstable maximum has only one pole corresponding to a scalar field with mass-squared  $-2m_s^2$ : the bosonic open string tachyon. In contrast there are no poles about the true minimum, which is the p-adic version of the statement that there are no open strings in the tachyon vacuum.

#### 4.3 The Nonlinearity Parameter

We now estimate the nonlinearity parameter by comparing (4.12) to the WMAP ansatz

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k_1}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k_2}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k_3}} (\tau) \rangle = (2\pi)^7 \left( -\frac{3}{10} f_{NL} \right) A_{\zeta}^4 \frac{k_1^3 + k_2^3 + k_3^3}{k_1^3 k_2^3 k_3^3} \delta^{(3)} \left( \mathbf{k_1} + \mathbf{k_2} + \mathbf{k_3} \right)$$
(4.14)

where, as we have shown previously,  $A_{\zeta}^2 = H^4/(2\pi\dot{\varphi}_0)^2 = c_{\zeta}^2 H_0^2/(4\pi^2)$ . Comparing (4.14) to (4.12) we have

$$f_{NL} = \frac{5N_e}{3(2\pi)^5} c_H c_\zeta \frac{1}{A_\zeta^2} \tag{4.15}$$

It is straightforward to compute the product  $c_H c_{\zeta}$  using (4.3) and (2.43). The result is

$$c_H c_{\zeta} = -\frac{g}{3U_0^{1/2}} \left(\frac{m_s}{\gamma}\right)^4 \frac{e^{\frac{N_e}{2}|n_s-1|}}{|n_s-1|} \left(\frac{F^{-1}(-\mu^2)}{(-\mu^2)}\right)^{3/2} \sqrt{G(\mu^2)}$$
(4.16)

where  $G(\mu^2)$  is defined in (2.47). It is possible to further simplify this expression by using (2.40) to eliminate  $\gamma^{-4}$  with the result

$$c_H c_\zeta = -\frac{gU_0^{1/2}}{6} \left(\frac{m_s}{M_p}\right)^2 e^{\frac{N_c}{2}|n_s - 1|} \frac{|F^{-1}(-\mu^2)|^{1/2}}{|\mu|^3} \sqrt{G(\mu^2)}$$
(4.17)

We can eliminate  $(m_s/M_p)^2$  from (4.17) using the COBE normalization (2.46). The final result is

$$f_{NL} \cong -\frac{5N_e}{48\pi^3} g U_0^{1/2} e^{-\frac{N_e}{2}|n_s-1|} |n_s-1|^2 K(\mu^2)$$
(4.18)

where we have defined

$$K(\mu^2) = \frac{1}{\mu^2} \frac{1}{|F^{-1}(-\mu^2)|^{1/2}} \frac{1}{|F'[F^{-1}(-\mu^2)]|^{1/2}}$$
(4.19)

Equation (4.18) is the main result of this section.

#### 4.4 The Perturbative Regime

Since  $f_{NL} \propto g$  and we are interested in models with  $|f_{NL}| \gg 1$  it is important to understand what values of g are acceptable. In conventional (local) inflationary models one would require  $|g| \ll 1$  in order not to spoil slow roll; however, this need not be the case for the nonlocal hill-top models which we consider. Indeed, in the case of p-adic inflation one has  $|g| \sim p^2 \gg 1$  (see section 3). In terms of the canonical field the Lagrangian is of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\omega^2}{2\mu^2} \varphi F\left(\frac{\Box}{m_s^2}\right) \varphi - V(\varphi) \tag{4.20}$$

where

$$V(\varphi) = V_0 - \frac{\omega^2}{2} \varphi^2 + c_H \varphi^3 \tag{4.21}$$

and  $V_0 = \gamma^4 U_0$ . In a local field theory the one-loop correction to the cubic term of the potential (4.21) would be of the order

$$\delta c_H \sim \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{c_H^3}{\omega^3}$$

so that the dimensionless quantity  $c_H^2/\omega^2$  controls the perturbative expansion. We therefore expect that the calculation is under control as long as  $c_H^2 < \omega^2$ . Using equations (4.3) and (2.16) the condition  $c_H^2 < \omega^2$  implies the following upper bound on g:

$$|g| < \frac{3\mu^3}{|F^{-1}(-\mu^2)|} \left(\frac{\gamma}{m_s}\right)^2$$
 (4.22)

In a given model the largest reliable value of  $f_{NL}$  is achieved by choosing g to saturate the bound (4.22).

## 5. Examples

#### **5.1** *p*-adic Inflation

With the results of section 3 we can compute  $f_{NL}$  for the p-adic inflation model, using (4.18, 4.19). The result is

$$f_{NL} \cong \frac{5N_e}{192\pi^3} e^{-\frac{N_e}{2}|n_s-1|} |n_s-1|^2 \sqrt{p} \left[ \frac{2}{\ln p} \frac{p-1}{p+1} \right]^{1/2}$$

so that  $f_{NL} \sim \sqrt{p}$  for  $p \gg 1$ . This result may slightly underestimate the nongaussianity produced in p-adic inflation because of the extra factor  $2(p-1)/[(p+1)\ln p]$ , which appears because the perturbative approach breaks down before the apparent end of the slow roll phase. This is precisely the discrepancy discussed below (3.10). Taking into account the fact that in p-adic inflation slow roll ends when  $u \sim p^{-1/2}$ , rather than when u is given by (3.9), we obtain

$$f_{NL} \cong \frac{5N_e}{192\pi^3} e^{-\frac{N_e}{2}|n_s-1|} |n_s-1|^2 \sqrt{p}$$
 (5.1)

For  $n_s \cong 0.95$  and  $N_e \cong 60$  this implies

$$f_{NL} \cong 2.8 \times 10^{-5} \sqrt{p}$$
 (5.2)

so that large  $f_{NL}$  requires large values of p.

How large can (5.2) be made? The COBE normalization relates  $g_s$  and p as [24]

$$g_s = \frac{5\pi\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}10^{-5}e^{-\frac{N_e}{2}|n_s-1|}|n_s-1|^{3/2}\sqrt{p}$$

For  $N_e \cong 60$  and  $n_s \cong 0.05$  the condition  $g_s < 1$  bounds p from above as

$$p \lesssim 1.7 \times 10^{13}$$

so that, using (5.2), the nonlinearity parameter is bounded as

$$f_{NL} \lesssim 120$$

with the upper limit corresponding to  $g_s = 1$ . The maximum possible nongaussianity is within the observational limit  $f_{NL} < 300$  though it should be observable in future missions.

We see that large nongaussianity is possible in p-adic inflation. It is interesting that the largest values of  $f_{NL}$  correspond to  $g_s$  close to unity, which is considered natural from the string theory perspective.

In evaluating out estimate for  $f_{NL}$ , eq. (5.2), we have used the total number of efoldings between horizon crossing and the end of inflation  $N_e \cong 60$ , rather than the number of e-foldings which can be observed. This is justified because the factor  $N_e$  has its origins in an IR divergence (4.13). This divergence should be regulated by the largest scale to which we have experimental access, namely, our current horizon size. The need for such IR regulators in cosmological perturbation theory was discussed in [6] where it was argued that these divergences simply reflect our ignorance about scales beyond the horizon. Notice that typically the total number of e-foldings between horizon crossing and the end of inflation is  $N_e \sim |n_s - 1|^{-1}$  so that one of the factors of  $n_s - 1$  in (4.18) is cancelled by the factor  $N_e$ . An identical cancellation occurs in local field theory models, see appendix B.

Finally, we note that even taking  $N_e \cong 6$  we would still obtain  $f_{NL} \sim 12g_s$  which, for  $g_s \sim 1$ , is still several orders of magnitude larger than the prediction of the simplest inflationary models. As long as  $f_{NL} > \mathcal{O}(1)$  then the primordial nongaussianity should be detectable in future missions [13]. For the primordial  $f_{NL} = \mathcal{O}(1)$  the situation is more complicated since post-inflationary super-horizon evolution will also generate an order unity contribution to the nonlinearity parameter [7] (see also [8]) and it may be difficult to disentangle these two distinct sources of nongaussianity.

In principle one could make  $f_{NL}$  even larger than  $f_{NL} \sim 120$  in this model by relaxing the requirement that  $g_s < 1$ , however, at large  $g_s$  we can no longer rely upon perturbation theory to compute the bispectrum or other quantities from the tree-level p-adic action. String loop effects will invalidate this action when  $g_s \gg 1$ . This is also consistent with our discussion in subsection 4.4 since the bound (4.22), for the case of p-adic inflation, corresponds to  $g_s < 3$ .

#### 5.2 A Toy Model with Exponential Kinetic Function

As an example of further possibilities within the framework of nonlocal field theories, we next investigate a toy model which can give rise to significant nongaussianity. We choose a kinetic function

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta z} \right) \tag{5.3}$$

where it is assumed that  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ . Equation (5.3) is typical of the kinds of kinetic functions which arise in string field theory. It is straightforward to compute

$$F^{-1}(-\mu^2) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left( 1 + \alpha \mu^2 \right) \tag{5.4}$$

$$F'\left[F^{-1}(-\mu^2)\right] = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(1 + \alpha \mu^2\right) \tag{5.5}$$

so that

$$G(\mu^2) = \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{1 + \alpha \mu^2}{\alpha \mu^2} \right) \ln^2 \left( 1 + \alpha \mu^2 \right)$$
 (5.6)

$$K(\mu^2) = \frac{1}{\mu^3} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha \mu^2}{1 + \alpha \mu^2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\ln(1 + \alpha \mu^2)}}$$
 (5.7)

In passing, it is interesting to compute the effective mass of the field fluctuation for this model using (5.4) and (2.16)

$$\omega^2 = \frac{m_s^2}{\beta} \ln\left(1 + \alpha\mu^2\right)$$

The fluctuations  $\delta\varphi$  behave as though the field had mass-squared  $-\omega^2$ . However, for an exponential kinetic function (5.3) this effective mass is only logarithmically sensitive to the actual mass  $\mu$ . This explains the novel behaviour first noted in [24] that the cosmology of the p-adic tachyon is virtually insensitive to the naive mass of the field.

We set

$$\gamma^4 = \frac{m_s^4}{g_s^2} \tag{5.8}$$

by analogy with the D-brane tension. Taking  $U_0 = 1$  the equation (2.40) fixes the string scale as

$$\left(\frac{m_s}{M_p}\right)^2 = \frac{2g_s^2}{|n_s - 1|} \frac{\ln(1 + \alpha\mu^2)}{\beta}$$
 (5.9)

while the COBE normalization requires

$$\frac{g_s^2}{\beta^2} = 6\pi^2 |n_s - 1|^3 e^{-N_e|n_s - 1|} \frac{\alpha\mu^2}{1 + \alpha\mu^2} \frac{1}{\ln^3 (1 + \alpha\mu^2)} \cdot 25 \cdot 10^{-10}$$
 (5.10)

If we take  $\alpha = \mu^2 = 1$  then (5.10) requires

$$\frac{\beta}{a_c} = 10^6 \tag{5.11}$$

and (5.9) gives  $(m_s/M_p)^2 = 3 \times 10^{-5} g_s$  so that  $m_s \ll M_p$  unless  $g_s$  is very large.

To obtain an upper bound on  $f_{NL}$  we note that g is bounded from above by (4.22) so that

$$g < \frac{3\mu^3}{\ln(1+\alpha\mu^2)} \frac{\beta}{q_s} = \frac{3}{\ln 2} \frac{\beta}{q_s} = 0.4 \times 10^7$$
 (5.12)

(we are taking g > 0). Using (5.11), (5.12),  $n_s \approx 0.95$  and  $N_e \approx 60$  we obtain

$$|f_{NL}| \cong 9.5 \times 10^{-5} \, g \tag{5.13}$$

so that  $f_{NL} \lesssim 300$  bounds g from above as  $g \lesssim 0.31 \times 10^7$ . Since this upper bound is stronger than the bound (5.12) it follows that it is possible to saturate the observational limit on  $f_{NL}$ .

# 6. Conclusions

In this paper we have studied the nongaussianity produced during nonlocal hill-top inflation. We were particularly interested in p-adic inflation, in which case large nongaussianity is possible, and indeed is natural since the upper bound on  $f_{NL}$  corresponds to  $g_s \sim 1$ .

We also considered a toy model with an exponential kinetic function, typical of string field theory Lagrangians, and showed that for certain values of the parameters large nongaussianity is possible in this model. Thus, nonlocal hill-top inflation models are among the few inflationary scenarios which can give rise to  $f_{NL} \gg 1$ .

There are several caveats to our work. In computing the curvature perturbation  $\zeta$ we have neglected both metric perturbations and also departures of the background from pure de Sitter space. Although these approximations reproduce the correct answer up to acceptable accuracy in standard (local) theories, it is not clear if this is also true in the nonlocal theories which we have considered. A cause for concern is the IR divergence (4.13) which we have regulated by the number of e-foldings of inflation. This divergence does not occur in the standard theory and seems to indicate that our definition of  $\zeta$  does not freeze out on large scales, as it should. We speculated that this divergence is an artifact of the approximations which we made, and this claim is supported by the fact that an identical divergence occurs also in the local theory when one makes exactly the same approximations which we have made [41]. That being said, we have not proven that  $\zeta$  will really freeze out in a more comprehensive treatment. It is possible that the relation  $\zeta \sim -H\delta\varphi/\dot{\varphi}_0$  does not hold for nonlocal theories. In order to answer this question in a satisfactory manner it will be necessary to perform a complete and rigorous analysis of the cosmological perturbations in this theory, incorporating also metric perturbations. Such an analysis is complicated by the fact that the kinetic operator  $F(\Box)$  will be of the form  $F(\Box_0 + \delta\Box)$  where  $\Box_0$ is the covariant d'Alembertian associated with the homogeneous background and  $\delta\Box$  is the perturbation which contains both metric perturbations  $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$  and also derivatives. In general  $\square_0$  and  $\delta\square$  do not commute, making it extremely difficult to solve the nonlocal evolution equations. We leave a rigorous treatment of the cosmological perturbations to future analysis.

In a local field theory the gravitational sector is known to give a small contribution to the nongaussianity and hence the neglect of the metric perturbations is justified when  $f_{NL} \gg 1$  [14]. It is not clear if this is also the case in nonlocal theories. In a complete calculation one would find terms in the perturbed Lagrangian which involve the operator  $F(\Box_0/m_s^2)$  acting on the scalar metric perturbations and hence it is not clear that the contribution of such terms to  $f_{NL}$  will be suppressed. Barring anomalous cancellations we would expect such terms to be of the same order of magnitude as those which we consider and hence our estimate should be reliable up to factors of order unity.

Another caveat is the complication in setting up the initial value problem for differential equations with infinitely many derivatives. It is known that the initial value problem for equations with infinitely many derivatives is fundamentally different from the initial value problem for an equation with N derivatives where  $N \gg 1$  [33]. Infinite derivative equations have been studied from a mathematical physics perspective in [43]. For further discussions about the initial value problem see [24, 27, 44].

A related difficultly is the issue of quantizing a nonlocal theory. In our analysis we have assumed the usual Bunch-Davies vacuum for the perturbation  $\delta\varphi$ , though it is not clear that this is correct in the present context. Quantization of nonlocal theories with finitely many derivatives generically leads to ghost excitations (and also to a classical pathology called the

Ostrogradski instability) though nonlocal theories with infinitely many derivatives (such as we consider) can evade these difficulties. For further discussion see [24, 26, 27].

Despite these difficulties, we believe that our calculation does provide a rough (order of magnitude) estimate of the actual nongaussianity produced. It is easy to intuitively understand why  $f_{NL}$  can be made large in these kinds of models. Our result (4.18) is equivalent to computing the three-point function for a light scalar field in de Sitter space. This correlator is proportional to coefficient of the cubic term in the potential, g, and hence will be large when g is large. In conventional inflationary models this is impossible since a large coupling g would spoil slow roll. However, in nonlocal theories this is not necessarily true. (Similarly a large mass term  $\mu^2$  would spoil inflation in a local theory, though there is no problem with exponentially large  $\mu^2$  in our models.) Indeed, in the examples where we have found large nongaussianity this is simply because  $g \gg 1$ , and the novelty is that such theories can support inflation.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by NSERC and FQRNT. We are grateful to X. Chen for many helpful discussions, comments and for collaboration during the early stages of this work. Thanks also to R. Brandenberger, D. Ghoshal, J. Lidsey and E. Lim for enlightening discussions.

# APPENDIX A: p-adic Scalar Field Evolution Beyond Linear Order

In this appendix we demonstrate that the equivalence between local and nonlocal theories breaks down beyond linear order in perturbation theory by studying the p-adic scalar field equation of motion

$$p^{-\Box/2}\psi = \psi^p \tag{A-1}$$

(in units  $m_s \equiv 1$ , which we employ throughout this appendix) up to second order in perturbation theory. For simplicity we neglect metric perturbations and assume pure de Sitter background. Expanding the p-adic scalar in perturbation theory as

$$\psi = 1 + \phi$$
  
= 1 + \delta^{(1)}\phi + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{(2)}\phi

It is straightforward perturb the field equation (A-1) up to second order with the result

$$\[ p^{-\Box/2} - p \] \delta^{(2)} \phi = p(p-1) \left( \delta^{(1)} \phi \right)^2$$
 (A-3)

At linear order one may construct solutions of (A-2) by taking  $\Box \delta^{(1)} \phi = -\omega^2 \delta^{(1)} \phi$ , however, this prescription fails for the second order equation (A-3). It is interesting to notice that in terms of the canonical field

$$\varphi = \frac{p}{\sqrt{2} g_s} \phi$$

the second order equation (A-3) becomes

$$\left[p^{-\Box/2} - p\right] \delta^{(2)} \varphi = c \left(\delta^{(1)} \varphi\right)^2 \tag{A-4}$$

where

$$c = \frac{p(p-1)}{A} = \sqrt{2}g_s(p-1)$$

The COBE normalization gives  $g_s \sim 10^{-7} \sqrt{p}$  (for  $n_s \cong 0.95$  and  $N_e \cong 60$ ) so that c is of the order

$$c \sim 10^{-7} p^{3/2} \tag{A-5}$$

for  $p \gg 1$ . We see that the second order effects can be made large by taking  $p \gg 1$  which is precisely the regime in which  $f_{NL} > 1$  occurs. As one might expect, this also coincides with the regime in which the nonlocal structure of the theory is playing an important role in the dynamics, as emphasized in [24].

# APPENDIX B: Comparison to Local Theory

In [41] Falk *et al.* studied the three-point function of the inflaton perturbation making the same approximations as we have made in our calculation. Namely, [41] neglect metric perturbations and departures of the background expansion from pure de Sitter. For the potential

$$V(\varphi) = V_0 - \frac{g}{6}\varphi^3 \tag{B-1}$$

Falk et al. find

$$\langle \delta \varphi_{k_1}(\tau) \delta \varphi_{k_2}(\tau) \delta \varphi_{k_3}(\tau) \rangle \cong + \frac{2\pi^3}{3} g H^2 N_e \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 k_i^3}{\prod_{i=1}^3 k_i^3} \delta^{(3)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{k}_i \right)$$
 (B-2)

The factor of  $N_e$  in (B-2) arises from regulating a time dependence of the form  $\ln \tau$ , exactly as in (4.13). We now use this result to estimate  $f_{NL}$  for the potential (B-1), showing that the answer agrees with a more careful calculation [3] up to factors of order unity. For simplicity we assume that g > 0 throughout this appendix and restrict ourselves to the case where  $\varphi$  rolls from the unstable point  $\varphi = 0$  towards some positive value  $\varphi > 0$ .

We assume that  $V_0 \gg g\varphi^3$  throughout inflation so that  $H \cong H_0 = \sqrt{V_0}/\sqrt{3}M_p$ . The slow roll parameters,  $\epsilon = 2^{-1}M_p^2(V'/V)^2$  and  $\eta = M_p^2V''/V$ , evaluated at the time of horizon crossing  $t = t_{\star}$ , are

$$\begin{split} \eta &\cong -M_p^2 \frac{g \varphi_\star}{V_0} \cong -\frac{g \varphi_\star}{3 H_0^2} \\ \epsilon &\cong |\eta| \frac{g \varphi_\star^3}{V_0} \ll |\eta| \end{split}$$

where  $\varphi_{\star} = \varphi(t=t_{\star})$  is the value of the inflaton at horizon crossing. The spectral tilt is

$$n_s - 1 = 2\eta - 6\epsilon \cong 2\eta \tag{B-3}$$

The slow roll Klein-Gordon equation

$$3H\dot{\varphi} \cong -V' \tag{B-4}$$

has solution

$$\varphi(N) \cong \varphi_{\star} \left[ 1 - \frac{|\eta|}{2} N \right]^{-1} \tag{B-5}$$

where  $N = H_0(t - t_{\star})$  so that  $\varphi(N = 0) = \varphi_{\star}$  is the inflaton value at horizon crossing. It is straightforward to see that

$$\frac{1}{2M_p^2} \frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{H^2} \cong \frac{\eta^2 \varphi_*^2}{8M_p^2} \left( 1 - \frac{|\eta|}{2} N \right)^{-4}$$

so that inflation ends  $N_e$  e-foldings after horizon crossing when  $|\eta|N_e/2 \sim 1$ . It follows that  $|n_s - 1|N_e = \mathcal{O}(1)$ .

We assume that

$$\zeta = c_{\zeta}\delta\varphi = -\frac{H}{\dot{\varphi}}\delta\varphi \tag{B-6}$$

The value of  $c_{\zeta}$  at horzion crossing is

$$c_{\zeta} = -\frac{H}{\dot{\varphi}}\Big|_{N=0} \cong -\frac{1}{d\varphi/dN}\Big|_{N=0} \cong -\frac{2}{\eta\varphi_{\star}}$$
 (B-7)

where in the last equality we have used (B-5). The COBE normalization is

$$A_{\zeta}^{2} = c_{\zeta}^{2} \left(\frac{H_{0}}{2\pi}\right)^{2} = 25 \times 10^{-10}$$
 (B-8)

so that, using (B-7), we have

$$A_{\zeta}^2 \cong \frac{H_0^2}{\pi^2 \eta^2 \varphi_{\star}^2} \tag{B-9}$$

Using (B-6) and (B-7) we can convert (B-2) into an estimate for the bispectrum of the curvature perturbation

$$\langle \zeta_{k_1}(\tau)\zeta_{k_2}(\tau)\zeta_{k_3}(\tau)\rangle \cong +\frac{2(2\pi)^3}{3} \frac{gH_0^2 N_e}{\eta^3 \varphi_{\star}^3} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 k_i^3}{\prod_{i=1}^3 k_i^3} \delta^{(3)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{k}_i\right)$$
(B-10)

Comparing (B-10) to the WMAP ansatz (4.14) we obtain the following estimate for the nonlinearity parameter

$$|f_{NL}| \sim \frac{5}{24} (n_s - 1)^2 N_e$$
 (B-11)

whereas the complete calculation [3] gives  $|f_{NL}| \sim \frac{5}{12}(n_s - 1)$ . The estimate (B-11) reproduces the result of the complete calculation up to an order of magnitude since  $|n_s - 1|N_e = \mathcal{O}(1)$ .

#### References

- [1] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Non-Gaussianity from inflation," Phys. Rev. D 65, 103505 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0112261].
- [2] V. Acquaviva, N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Second-order cosmological perturbations from inflation," Nucl. Phys. B 667, 119 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0209156].
- [3] J. Maldacena, "Non-Gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models," JHEP **0305**, 013 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0210603].
- [4] D. Seery and J. E. Lidsey, "Primordial non-gaussianities in single field inflation," JCAP **0506**, 003 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0503692].
- [5] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Evolution of second-order cosmological perturbations and non-Gaussianity," JCAP **0401**, 003 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0309692].
  - N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Gauge-invariant temperature anisotropies and primordial non-Gaussianity," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 231301 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0407505].
  - G. I. Rigopoulos, E. P. S. Shellard and B. J. W. van Tent, "A simple route to non-Gaussianity in inflation," Phys. Rev. D 72, 083507 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0410486].
  - S. Koh, S. P. Kim and D. J. Song, "Nonlinear evolutions and non-Gaussianity in generalized gravity," Phys. Rev. D **71**, 123511 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0501401].
  - N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Signatures of Primordial Non-Gaussianity in the Large-Scale Structure of the Universe," arXiv:astro-ph/0501614.
  - D. H. Lyth and Y. Rodriguez, "Non-gaussianity from the second-order cosmological perturbation," Phys. Rev. D **71**, 123508 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0502578].
  - D. H. Lyth and Y. Rodriguez, "The inflationary prediction for primordial non-gaussianity," arXiv:astro-ph/0504045.
  - N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Non-Gaussianity of Large-Scale CMB Anisotropies beyond Perturbation Theory," JCAP **0508**, 010 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0506410].
  - D. H. Lyth and I. Zaballa, "A Bound Concerning Primordial Non-Gaussianity," arXiv:astro-ph/0507608.
  - M. Liguori, F. K. Hansen, E. Komatsu, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Testing Primordial Non-Gaussianity in CMB Anisotropies," arXiv:astro-ph/0509098.
  - M. P. Salem, "On the generation of density perturbations at the end of inflation," Phys. Rev. D 72, 123516 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0511146].
  - E. W. Kolb, A. Riotto and A. Vallinotto, "Non-Gaussianity from broken symmetries," Phys. Rev. D 73, 023522 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0511198].
  - I. Zaballa, Y. Rodriguez and D. H. Lyth, "Higher order contributions to the primordial non-gaussianity," arXiv:astro-ph/0603534.
  - D. Seery and J. E. Lidsey, "Non-Gaussian inflationary perturbations from the dS/CFT correspondence," JCAP **0606**, 001 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0604209].
  - L. Alabidi and D. Lyth, "Curvature perturbation from symmetry breaking the end of inflation," arXiv:astro-ph/0604569.
  - L. Alabidi, "Non-gaussianity for a two component hybrid model of inflation," JCAP **0610**, 015 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0604611].
- [6] L. Boubekeur and D. H. Lyth, "Detecting a small perturbation through its non-Gaussianity," Phys. Rev. D 73, 021301(R) (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0504046].

- [7] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Enhancement of non-Gaussianity after inflation," JHEP 0404, 006 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0308088].
  - M. Liguori, F. K. Hansen, E. Komatsu, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Testing Primordial Non-Gaussianity in CMB Anisotropies," Phys. Rev. D **73**, 043505 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0509098].
- [8] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Non-Gaussianity of Large-Scale CMB Anisotropies beyond Perturbation Theory," JCAP 0508, 010 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0506410].
  - M. Liguori, F. K. Hansen, E. Komatsu, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Testing Primordial Non-Gaussianity in CMB Anisotropies," Phys. Rev. D **73**, 043505 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0509098].
  - N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "The Full Second-Order Radiation Transfer Function for Large-Scale CMB Anisotropies," JCAP **0605**, 010 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0512481].
  - N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "CMB Anisotropies at Second Order I," JCAP **0606**, 024 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0604416].
  - N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "CMB Anisotropies at Second-Order II: Analytical Approach," JCAP **0701**, 019 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0610110].
- [9] N. Bartolo, E. Komatsu, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Non-Gaussianity from inflation: Theory and observations," Phys. Rept. 402, 103 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0406398].
   N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Cosmic Microwave Background Anisotropies up to Second Order," arXiv:astro-ph/0703496.
- [10] D. Seery, J. E. Lidsey and M. S. Sloth, "The inflationary trispectrum," arXiv:astro-ph/0610210.
  - M. x. Huang and G. Shiu, "The inflationary trispectrum for models with large non-Gaussianities," arXiv:hep-th/0610235.
  - D. Seery and J. E. Lidsey, "Non-gaussianity from the inflationary trispectrum," arXiv:astro-ph/0611034.
  - C. T. Byrnes, M. Sasaki and D. Wands, "The primordial trispectrum from inflation," arXiv:astro-ph/0611075.
- [11] P. Creminelli, L. Senatore, M. Zaldarriaga and M. Tegmark, "Limits on  $f_N L$  parameters from WMAP 3yr data," JCAP **0703**, 005 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0610600].
- [12] D. N. Spergel *et al.*, "Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) three year results: Implications for cosmology," arXiv:astro-ph/0603449.
- [13] C. Hikage, E. Komatsu and T. Matsubara, "Primordial Non-Gaussianity and Analytical Formula for Minkowski Functionals of the Cosmic Microwave Background and Large-scale Structure," Astrophys. J. 653, 11 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0607284].
- [14] X. Chen, M. x. Huang, S. Kachru and G. Shiu, "Observational signatures and non-Gaussianities of general single field inflation," arXiv:hep-th/0605045.
- [15] E. Silverstein and D. Tong, "Scalar speed limits and cosmology: Acceleration from D-cceleration," Phys. Rev. D 70, 103505 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0310221].
  - M. Alishahiha, E. Silverstein and D. Tong, "DBI in the sky," Phys. Rev. D 70, 123505 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0404084].
  - X. Chen, "Multi-throat brane inflation," Phys. Rev. D **71**, 063506 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0408084].

- X. Chen, "Inflation from warped space," JHEP 0508, 045 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501184].
- X. Chen, "Running non-Gaussianities in DBI inflation," Phys. Rev. D **72**, 123518 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0507053].
- S. E. Shandera and S. H. Tye, "Observing brane inflation," JCAP **0605**, 007 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0601099].
- S. Kecskemeti, J. Maiden, G. Shiu and B. Underwood, "DBI inflation in the tip region of a warped throat," JHEP **0609**, 076 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605189].
- J. E. Lidsey and D. Seery, "Primordial non-Gaussianity and gravitational waves: Observational tests of brane inflation in string theory," arXiv:astro-ph/0610398.
- G. Shiu and B. Underwood, "Observing the geometry of warped compactification via cosmic inflation," arXiv:hep-th/0610151.
- L. Leblond and S. Shandera, "Cosmology of the tachyon in brane inflation," arXiv:hep-th/0610321.
- T. Matsuda, "Brane inflation without slow-roll," arXiv:astro-ph/0610402.
- M. Spalinski, "On the slow roll expansion for brane inflation," arXiv:hep-th/0702118.
- M. Spalinski, "On Power Law Inflation in DBI Models," arXiv:hep-th/0702196.
- M. Spalinski, "A consistency relation for power law inflation in DBI models," arXiv:hep-th/0703248.
- [16] X. Chen, R. Easther and E. A. Lim, "Large Non-Gaussianities in Single Field Inflation," arXiv:astro-ph/0611645.
- [17] A. D. Linde, "Hybrid inflation," Phys. Rev. D 49, 748 (1994) [arXiv:astro-ph/9307002].
- [18] N. Barnaby and J. M. Cline, "Nongaussian and nonscale-invariant perturbations from tachyonic preheating in hybrid inflation," Phys. Rev. D **73**, 106012 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0601481].
- [19] N. Barnaby and J. M. Cline, "Nongaussianity from tachyonic preheating in hybrid inflation," arXiv:astro-ph/0611750.
- [20] F. Finelli and S. Khlebnikov, "Metric perturbations at reheating: The use of spherical symmetry," Phys. Rev. D 65, 043505 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0107143].
  - K. Enqvist, A. Jokinen, A. Mazumdar, T. Multamaki and A. Vaihkonen, "Non-Gaussianity from Preheating," Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 161301 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0411394].
  - K. Enqvist, A. Jokinen, A. Mazumdar, T. Multamaki and A. Vaihkonen, "Non-gaussianity from instant and tachyonic preheating," JCAP **0503**, 010 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0501076].
  - K. Enqvist, A. Jokinen, A. Mazumdar, T. Multamaki and A. Vaihkonen, "Cosmological constraints on string scale and coupling arising from tachyonic instability," JHEP **0508**, 084 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0502185].
  - Q. G. Huang and K. Ke, "Non-Gaussianity in KKLMMT model," arXiv:hep-th/0504137.
  - A. Jokinen and A. Mazumdar, "Very Large Primordial Non-Gaussianity from multi-field: Application to Massless Preheating," arXiv:astro-ph/0512368.
- [21] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "On non-Gaussianity in the curvaton scenario," Phys. Rev. D **69**, 043503 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0309033].
  - C. Gordon and K. A. Malik, "WMAP, neutrino degeneracy and non-Gaussianity constraints on isocurvature perturbations in the curvaton model of inflation," Phys. Rev. D **69**, 063508 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0311102].

- K. Enqvist and S. Nurmi, "Non-gaussianity in curvaton models with nearly quadratic potential," JCAP **0510**, 013 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0508573].
- K. A. Malik and D. H. Lyth, "A numerical study of non-gaussianity in the curvaton scenario," JCAP **0609**, 008 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0604387].
- M. Sasaki, J. Valiviita and D. Wands, "Non-gaussianity of the primordial perturbation in the curvaton model," Phys. Rev. D **74**, 103003 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0607627].
- J. Valiviita, M. Sasaki and D. Wands, "Non-Gaussianity and constraints for the variance of perturbations in the curvaton model," arXiv:astro-ph/0610001.
- [22] N. Arkani-Hamed, P. Creminelli, S. Mukohyama and M. Zaldarriaga, "Ghost inflation," JCAP **0404**, 001 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0312100].
- [23] D. Seery and J. E. Lidsey, "Primordial non-gaussianities from multiple-field inflation," JCAP **0509**, 011 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0506056].
  - K. A. Malik, "Gauge-invariant perturbations at second order: Multiple scalar fields on large scales," JCAP **0511**, 005 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0506532].
  - G. I. Rigopoulos, E. P. S. Shellard and B. J. W. van Tent, "Non-linear perturbations in multiple-field inflation," Phys. Rev. D 73, 083521 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0504508].
  - G. I. Rigopoulos, E. P. S. Shellard and B. J. W. van Tent, "Large non-Gaussianity in multiple-field inflation," arXiv:astro-ph/0506704.
  - C. Y. Sun and D. H. Zhang, "Non Gaussianity of general multiple-field inflationary models," arXiv:astro-ph/0510709.
  - L. E. Allen, S. Gupta and D. Wands, "Non-Gaussian perturbations from multi-field inflation," JCAP **0601**, 006 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0509719].
  - G. I. Rigopoulos, E. P. S. Shellard and B. J. W. van Tent, "Quantitative bispectra from multifield inflation," arXiv:astro-ph/0511041.
  - F. Vernizzi and D. Wands, "Non-Gaussianities in two-field inflation," JCAP **0605**, 019 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0603799].
  - S. A. Kim and A. R. Liddle, "Nflation: Non-gaussianity in the horizon-crossing approximation," Phys. Rev. D 74, 063522 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0608186].
  - T. Battefeld and R. Easther, "Non-gaussianities in multi-field inflation," JCAP **0703**, 020 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0610296].
  - K. A. Malik, "A not so short note on the Klein-Gordon equation at second order," JCAP **0703**, 004 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0610864].
  - D. Battefeld and T. Battefeld, "Non-Gaussianities in N-flation," arXiv:hep-th/0703012.
- [24] N. Barnaby, T. Biswas and J. M. Cline, "p-adic inflation," arXiv:hep-th/0612230.
- [25] J. E. Lidsey, "Stretching the inflaton potential with kinetic energy," arXiv:hep-th/0703007.
- [26] T. Biswas, A. Mazumdar and W. Siegel, "Bouncing universes in string-inspired gravity," JCAP 0603, 009 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0508194].
  - T. Biswas, R. Brandenberger, A. Mazumdar and W. Siegel, "Non-perturbative gravity, Hagedorn bounce and CMB," arXiv:hep-th/0610274.
- [27] J. Khoury, "Fading gravity and self-inflation," arXiv:hep-th/0612052.
- [28] A. S. Koshelev, "Non-local SFT tachyon and cosmology," arXiv:hep-th/0701103.

- [29] I. Y. Aref'eva, L. V. Joukovskaya and S. Y. Vernov, "Bouncing and accelerating solutions in nonlocal stringy models," arXiv:hep-th/0701184.
- [30] I. Y. Aref'eva and I. V. Volovich, "Quantization of the Riemann zeta-function and cosmology," arXiv:hep-th/0701284.
  - B. Dragovich, "Zeta strings," arXiv:hep-th/0703008.
- [31] I. Y. Aref'eva, "Nonlocal string tachyon as a model for cosmological dark energy," AIP Conf. Proc. 826, 301 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0410443].
  - I. Y. Aref'eva and L. V. Joukovskaya, "Time lumps in nonlocal stringy models and cosmological applications," JHEP **0510**, 087 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0504200].
  - I. Y. Aref'eva, A. S. Koshelev and S. Y. Vernov, "Stringy dark energy model with cold dark matter," Phys. Lett. B **628**, 1 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0505605].
  - I. Y. Aref'eva, A. S. Koshelev and S. Y. Vernov, "Crossing of the w = -1 barrier by D3-brane dark energy model," Phys. Rev. D **72**, 064017 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0507067].
  - G. Calcagni, "Cosmological tachyon from cubic string field theory," JHEP 0605, 012 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512259].
  - I. Y. Aref'eva and A. S. Koshelev, "Cosmic acceleration and crossing of w = -1 barrier from cubic superstring field theory," arXiv:hep-th/0605085.
  - I. Y. Aref'eva and I. V. Volovich, "On the null energy condition and cosmology," arXiv:hep-th/0612098.
- [32] P. G. O. Freund and M. Olson, "Nonarchimedean strings," Phys. Lett. B 199, 186 (1987);
  - P. G. O. Freund and E. Witten, "Adelic string amplitudes," Phys. Lett. B 199, 191 (1987).
  - L. Brekke, P.G. Freud, M. Olson and E. Witten, "Nonarchimedean String Dynamics," Nucl. Phys. B **302**, 365 (1998).
- [33] N. Moeller and B. Zwiebach, "Dynamics with infinitely many time derivatives and rolling tachyons," JHEP **0210**, 034 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0207107].
- [34] P. H. Frampton and H. Nishino, "Stability analysis of p-adic string solitons," Phys. Lett. B 242, 354 (1990).
  - J. A. Minahan, "Mode interactions of the tachyon condensate in p-adic string theory," JHEP **0103**, 028 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0102071].
  - D. Ghoshal and A. Sen, "Tachyon condensation and brane descent relations in p-adic string theory," Nucl. Phys. B **584**, 300 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0003278].
  - N. Moeller and M. Schnabl, "Tachyon condensation in open-closed p-adic string theory," JHEP **0401**, 011 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0304213].
  - D. Ghoshal, "p-adic string theories provide lattice discretization to the ordinary string worldsheet," Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 151601 (2006).
- [35] I. Y. Aref'eva, A. S. Koshelev, D. M. Belov and P. B. Medvedev, "Tachyon condensation in cubic superstring field theory," Nucl. Phys. B 638, 3 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0011117].
  - I. Y. Aref'eva, L. V. Joukovskaya and A. S. Koshelev, "Time evolution in superstring field theory on non-BPS brane. I: Rolling tachyon and energy-momentum conservation," JHEP **0309**, 012 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0301137].

- [36] V. A. Kostelecky and S. Samuel, "The Static Tachyon Potential in the Open Bosonic String Theory," Phys. Lett. B 207, 169 (1988).
  - V. A. Kostelecky and S. Samuel, "On a Nonperturbative Vacuum for the Open Bosonic String," Nucl. Phys. B **336**, 263 (1990).
- [37] M. Fujita and H. Hata, "Rolling tachyon solution in vacuum string field theory," Phys. Rev. D 70, 086010 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0403031].
  - T. G. Erler, "Level truncation and rolling the tachyon in the lightcone basis for open string field theory," arXiv:hep-th/0409179.
  - V. Forini, G. Grignani and G. Nardelli, "A new rolling tachyon solution of cubic string field theory," arXiv:hep-th/0502151.
  - E. Coletti, I. Sigalov and W. Taylor, "Taming the tachyon in cubic string field theory," JHEP **0508**, 104 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0505031].
  - V. Forini, G. Grignani and G. Nardelli, "A solution to the 4-tachyon off-shell amplitude in cubic string field theory," JHEP **0604**, 053 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603206].
- [38] L. Boubekeur and D. H. Lyth, "Hilltop inflation," JCAP 0507, 010 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0502047].
- [39] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner, "The dynamics of general relativity," arXiv:gr-qc/0405109.
- [40] H. t. Yang, "Stress tensors in p-adic string theory and truncated OSFT," JHEP 0211, 007 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0209197].
  - A. Sen, "Energy momentum tensor and marginal deformations in open string field theory," JHEP **0408**, 034 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0403200].
- [41] T. Falk, R. Rangarajan and M. Srednicki, "The Angular dependence of the three point correlation function of the cosmic microwave background radiation as predicted by inflationary cosmologies," Astrophys. J. 403, L1 (1993) [arXiv:astro-ph/9208001].
- [42] J. Garcia-Bellido and D. Wands, "Metric perturbations in two-field inflation," Phys. Rev. D 53, 5437 (1996) [arXiv:astro-ph/9511029].
  - K. A. Malik and D. Wands, "Evolution of second order cosmological perturbations," Class. Quant. Grav. 21, L65 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0307055].
  - D. H. Lyth, K. A. Malik and M. Sasaki, "A general proof of the conservation of the curvature perturbation," JCAP **0505**, 004 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0411220].
  - D. Langlois and F. Vernizzi, "Conserved non-linear quantities in cosmology," Phys. Rev. D 72, 103501 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0509078].
- [43] Y. Volovich, "Numerical study of nonlinear equations with infinite number of derivatives," J. Phys. A 36, 8685 (2003) [arXiv:math-ph/0301028].
  - V. S. Vladimirov and Y. I. Volovich, "On the nonlinear dynamical equation in the p-adic string theory," Theor. Math. Phys. **138**, 297 (2004) [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **138**, 355 (2004)] [arXiv:math-ph/0306018].
  - V. S. Vladimirov, "On the equation of the p-adic open string for the scalar tachyon field," arXiv:math-ph/0507018.
  - D. V. Prokhorenko, "On Some Nonlinear Integral Equation in the (Super)String Theory," arXiv:math-ph/0611068.

[44] N. Barnaby, "Caustic formation in tachyon effective field theories," JHEP **0407**, 025 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0406120].